

leges, Harvard having won three meets and Yale two, and make next year's contest the deciding one.

Judged from the results of Saturday's games, the Yale team will be exceptionally strong in the flat races, as there are four to six really fast men for each of these events,—the hundred yards dash, the two twenty yards dash and the quarter, half and mile runs. The prospects for the hurdle races are not so gratifying, although there are some fair candidates for them. The pole vault should be Yale's strongest event, as there are three men available, who can cross the bar at eleven feet three inches. The other four field events, however, the broad jump, the high jump, the shot put and the hammer throw at present are unusually weak, but hard work and careful coaching are relied upon to develop creditable contestants. It is thus seen that Yale's track team is badly balanced, in general, unusually strong on the track and unusually weak in the field. It is expected, however, that the defective events will be remedied and that, combined with the strength of the others, a team of more than average ability will be developed.

SUMMARY OF EVENTS.

The results of the events on Saturday were as follows:

100 yards dash—Won by F. A. Blount, 1900; second, F. H. Warren, Jr., '99 S.; third, B. T. Doudge, 1901. Time, 10½ seconds. First heat won by F. H. Warren, '99 S.; second, M. P. Miller, 1902; time, 10½ seconds. Second heat won by B. T. Doudge, 1901; second, C. C. Conway, '99 S.; time, 10½ seconds. Third heat won by F. A. Blount, 1900; second, E. F. Donnelly, 1901. Time, 10½ seconds.

220 yards dash—Won by Dixon Boardman, 1902; second, F. H. Warren, '99 S.; third, Ira Richards, Jr., 1900 S. Time, 21¾ seconds.

440 yards dash—Won by T. R. Fisher, 2d, '99 S.; second, C. Dupee, 1901; third, C. E. Ordway, 1900. Time 53¾ seconds.

880 yards run—Won by J. P. Adams, 1900; second, W. D. Brennan, 1900 S.; third, H. M. Poynter, 1900. Time, 2 minutes 23½ seconds.

One mile run—Won by H. P. Smith, 1900; second, C. B. Spitzer, '99; third, J. P. Clyde, '99 T.S. Time, 4 minutes 38 seconds.

120 yards hurdle—Won by W. M. Fincke, 1901 S.; second, F. D. Cheney, 1900; third, H. Chisholm, 1901. Time, 16¾ seconds. First heat won by F. D. Cheney, 1900; second, W. F. B. Berger, '99; time, 17¾ seconds. Second heat won by W. M. Fincke, 1901 S.; second, H. Chisholm, 1901; time, 17¾ seconds.

220 yards hurdle—Won by H. S. Mead, 1902; second, C. W. Cady, 1901; third, F. D. Cheney, 1900. Time, 27¾ seconds.

One mile relay race—Won by Juniors; second, Freshmen; third, Sophomores. Time, 3 minutes 34 seconds. The members of the winning team were: C. J. Gleason; C. E. Ordway; J. P. Adams and C. F. Luce.

Shot put—Tie between D. R. Francis, 1900, and R. B. Robertson, 1900; distance, 38 feet 6 inches; third, A. L. Gile, 1901 L.S. D. R. Francis, 1900, won on the throw-off.

Hammer throw—Won by M. H. Bergen, 1902; distance, 100 feet; second, E. E. Marshall, '99 S.; distance, 99 feet 6 inches; third, H. Richards, Jr., 1900 S.

Pole vault—Won by R. G. Clapp, '99 S.; distance, 11 feet 6 inches; second, tie between B. Johnson, 1900, and W. E. Selin, P. G.; distance, 11 feet 3 inches.

High jump—Won by E. C. Waller, '99 S.; distance, 5 feet 7½ inches; second, tie between H. B. Colton, 1901, and G. M. Smith, 1901; distance, 5 feet 6½ inches.

Broad jump—Won by H. Chisholm, 1901; distance, 20 feet 8 inches; second, R. G. Clapp, '99 S.; distance, 20 feet 5 inches; third, F. D. Cheney, 1900; distance, 20 feet 3½ inches.

THE SQUAD.

The following is a complete list of the men who are retained on the squad:

100 yards dash—C. C. Conway, '99 S.; W. P. Wattles, 1901; R. M. Graff, '99 S.; M. P. Miller, 1902; F. H. Warren, Jr., '99 S.; F. A. Blount, 1900; W. B. Seabury, 1900; F. J. Sladen, 1902; I. Richards, Jr., 1900 S.; B. T. Doudge, 1901; E. F. Donnelly, 1901.

220 yards dash—C. J. Gleason, 1900; F. H. Warren, Jr., '99 S.; J. Richards, Jr., 1900 S.; B. T. Doudge, 1901; C. C. Conway, '99 S.; D. Boardman, 1902; C. Dupee, 1901; T. R. Fisher, '99 S.; E. L. Fletcher, '99 S.

440 yards run—C. E. Ordway, 1900; C. J. Gleason, 1900; D. Boardman, 1902; C. F. Luce, 1900; C. Dupee, 1901; C. T. Dudley, 1900 S.; T. R. Fisher, '99 S.; E. L. Fletcher, '99 S.

880 yards run—J. P. Adams, 1900; H. M. Poynter, 1900; L. M. Thomas, 1901; W. D. Brennan, 1900 S.; C. E. Ordway, 1900; E. Hausberg, 1901; J. F. Ferry, 1901 S.; L. S. Treadwell, '99 S.; R. V. Van Vredenburg, 1901 S.; S. McL. Buckingham, '99; A. S. Mann, '99.

One mile run—C. C. Starr, 1900 S.; H. P. Smith, 1900; M. Scudder, '99; J. P. Clyde, T.S.; S. B. Chittenden, 1902; J. F. Tenney, 1902; C. B. Spitzer, '99; H. Speer, 1900.

120 yards hurdle—A. W. Hyde, 1902; W. F. B. Berger, '99; W. M. Fincke, 1901 S.; F. D. Cheney, 1900; J. W. Morey, 1900 S.; A. N. Graves, 1900; H. Chisholm, 1901.

220 yards hurdle—W. F. B. Berger, '99; F. D. Cheney, 1900; C. W. Cady, 1901; H. S. Mead, 1902.

Pole vault—W. E. Selin, P. G.; R. G. Clapp, '99 S.; B. Johnson, 1900; W. M. Adriance, 1900; J. H. Hord, 1901.

High jump—E. C. Waller, '99 S.; G. M. Smith, 1901; D. McCord, 1901; H. B. Colton, 1901; W. E. Davenport, '99.

Broad jump—J. R. Hunter, 1902; H. Chisholm, 1901; T. Watson, 1900; H. J. Fenton, '99; F. B. Makepeace, Jr., 1901; S. E. McConnico, 1902; F. D. Cheney, 1900; W. F. B. Berger, '99; J. W. Morey, 1900 S.

Shot put—A. L. Gile, L.S.; R. B. Robertson, 1900; J. C. Tomlinson, 1901; D. R. Francis, 1900; A. H. Sharp, M.S.; H. Richards, Jr., 1900 S.; M. H. Bergen, 1902; H. C. Andrews, '99.

Hammer throw—R. B. Hixon, 1901; G. S. Stillman, 1901; J. W. Clark, 1900; E. E. Marshall, '99 S.; H. Richards, Jr., 1900 S.; M. H. Bergen, 1902.

U. of P. Relay Races.

The University of Pennsylvania will hold an intercollegiate relay race meet at Philadelphia, Saturday, April 29, open to all universities and colleges of the United States and Canada. Three races to determine the intercollegiate championship of America will be run. These races will be run over a mile, two mile and four mile course. The Yale teams, which were selected after the Inter-class games, Saturday, April 22, will consist of four of the five men in each of the following events:

One mile—T. R. Fisher, 2d, '99 S.; C. F. Luce, 1900; D. Boardman, 1902; C. J. Gleason, 1900; C. E. Ordway, 1900.

Two mile—J. P. Adams, 1900; W. D. Brennan, 1900 S.; H. M. Poynter, 1900; L. M. Thomas, 1901; C. E. Ordway, 1900.

Four mile—C. B. Spitzer, '99; H. Speer, 1900; H. P. Smith, 1900; S. B. Chittenden, 1902; J. P. Clyde, '99 T.S.

The winning team in each event will be given a banner and each member of the winning team will be presented with a gold watch. Members of the team, finishing second in each race, will be given a silver cup.

Yale, 13; Williams, 1.

The Yale-Williams game, played at the Field Wednesday, April 19, resulted in another easy victory for the University Nine. Yale's great strength at the bat and Williams' corresponding weakness were responsible for the score, 13 to 1. In order to allow the visiting Nine to catch their train the game was limited to six innings, during which Yale made twelve hits, deSaulles leading with three singles and a three-base hit. Williams on the contrary secured but three hits off Robertson, who showed his usual steadiness, allowing no bases on balls and striking out five men in as many innings. Yale's work in the field was sharp throughout the game, Bronson and deSaulles making wonderful stops of what promised to be safe hits. Williams' fielding was fair, her special weakness lying in the outfield.

The score and summary:

YALE.		AB.	R.	IB.	PO.	A.	E.
deSaulles, 2b.	.....	5	4	4	3	3	0
Quinby, cf.	.....	4	1	2	0	0	0
Wear, 1b.	.....	5	1	2	8	0	0
Wallace, rf.	.....	4	1	2	1	0	1
Camp, ss.	.....	2	1	0	0	1	0
Sullivan, c.	.....	3	1	2	5	0	0
Hirsh, c.	.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wescott, lf.	.....	4	1	0	0	0	0
Bronson, 1f.	.....	3	1	0	1	1	0
Robertson, p.	.....	2	2	0	0	1	0
Gibson, p.	.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	.....	32	13	12	18	6	1

WILLIAMS.		AB.	R.	IB.	PO.	A.	E.
Russell, ss.	.....	3	1	1	0	0	1
Heffeman, lf.	.....	3	0	0	0	0	0
Risley, 1b.	.....	3	0	1	8	4	0
Reardon, 2b.	.....	3	0	0	2	4	0
Ranger, c.	.....	2	0	0	4	3	0
Lydecker, 3b.	.....	2	0	0	2	0	1
Seaver, cf.	.....	2	0	0	2	0	1
Plunkett, rf.	.....	2	0	1	0	0	0
Bent, p.	.....	1	0	0	0	3	3
Jansen, p.	.....	0	0	0	0	1	0
Edwards*	.....	1	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	.....	22	1	3	18	15	6

\*Batted for Bent in fifth inning.

Score by innings:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Yale	.....	0	6	2	4	0
Williams	.....	1	0	0	0	0

The summary: Earned runs—Yale 2, Williams 1. Two-base hits—Sullivan 2, Quinby, Wear. Three-base hits—Plunkett, deSaulles. Stolen bases—deSaulles, Quinby, Wear 2, Wallace 2, Sullivan 2, Wescott 2, Russell, Heffeman. Bases on balls—Off Bent 7, off Jansen 1. Struck out—Wescott, Wallace, Bronson, Risley, Ranger 2, Lydecker, Bent. Base hits—Off Bent 10, off Jensen 1, off Robertson 3. Wild pitch—Bent. Sacrifice hit—Quinby. First base on errors—Yale 5, Williams 1. Left on bases—Yale 10, Williams 3. Time of game—One hour fifteen minutes. Umpire—Gruber. Attendance—700.

Yale, 12; Amherst, 0.

The University Nine went to Amherst, Mass., on Saturday and won an easy victory from the Amherst College team, the final score standing 12 to 0. Both teams were rather weak at the bat, but Yale's hits were made when hits meant runs. Amherst was completely outclassed in the field, the Yale Nine playing a sharp game throughout, while the Amherst players were rather slow, especially the outfielders. The most gratifying feature, from a Yale standpoint, was the steadiness of Cook and Gibson, the pitchers, as they allowed but five hits and gave no bases on balls.

The score:

YALE.		AB.	R.	IB.	PO.	A.	E.
deSaulles, 2b.	.....	2	1	1	3	2	0
Quinby, cf.	.....	5	1	0	4	1	0
Wear, 1b.	.....	4	3	2	14	0	1
Wallace, lf.	.....	5	2	0	1	0	0
Camp, ss.	.....	4	0	1	1	2	0
Hirsh, c.	.....	1	0	1	0	1	0
Sullivan, c.	.....	2	1	1	4	0	0
Clark, cf.	.....	1	0	0	0	0	0
Wescott, rf.	.....	2	1	0	0	0	1
Bronson, 3b.	.....	4	2	1	0	3	0
Gibson, p.	.....	1	1	0	0	3	0
Cook, p.	.....	3	0	0	0	3	0
Totals	.....	34	12	7	27	15	2

All Piled Up

Down stairs with boxes from our shirt factory. Takes two weeks now to fill an order. Our customers are eating into our pattern list, but it takes hundreds of orders to seriously deplete the stock. These are the days when you really begin to want the colored negligé shirts.

CHASE & CO.,  
NEW HAVEN HOUSE BLOCK.  
HENRY HEATH HATS.

The . . . Big Plaid,

In a brown or a gray, need not be loud. Of course there are plenty of striking patterns, but plenty of others with life and style, that are thoroughly self-contained.

CORBIN 1000 CHAPEL STREET.

In New York, Astor House, every Thursday, from 12 to 4.

AMHERST.		AB.	R.	IB.	PO.	A.	E.
Tinker, 1b.	.....	4	0	2	10	0	0
Fisher, lf.	.....	4	0	1	0	0	0
Righter, 2b.	.....	4	0	0	3	1	1
Whitney, c.	.....	4	0	0	4	3	0
Thompson, 3b.	.....	4	0	1	2	2	0
Foster, cf.	.....	4	0	1	4	1	0
Halford, ss.	.....	3	0	0	4	3	3
Rushmore, p.	.....	3	0	0	0	4	1
Messinger, rf.	.....	3	0	1	0	0	0
Totals	.....	33	0	6	27	14	5

The summary: Two-base hit—Camp. Three-base hit—Wear. Stolen bases—Yale 3, Amherst 2. Double play—Quinby, deSaulles. Bases on balls—Off Rushmore 2. Hit by pitched ball—By Rushmore 4. Struck out—By Cook 4, by Rushmore 3. Time of game—One hour forty-five minutes. Umpire—Davis.

College Baseball Games.

The following College baseball games were played last week:  
Monday—University of Virginia 15, University of Pennsylvania 3.  
Tuesday—Williams 7, Wesleyan 4. Univ. of Virginia 6, Univ. of Pennsylvania 4. Harvard 5, Fredericksburg 1.  
Wednesday—Princeton 3, Lafayette 1. Georgetown 9, Univ. of Pennsylvania 0. Holy Cross 17, Brown 0.  
Thursday—Georgetown 6, Harvard 5. Saturday—Manhattan 3, Harvard 1. Cornell 6, Lafayette 0. Univ. of Vermont 6, Univ. of Pennsylvania 3.

On Monday, the final reduction was made in the Freshman Baseball squad, which has been working under the supervision of G. A. Lyon, Jr., 1900. The men retained are: Cunha, L.S., catcher; Baer, 1902, and McKelvey, 1902, pitchers; Johnson, 1901 S., first base; Robertson, L.S., second base; Miller, 1901 S., third base; Grammer, 1901 S., short stop; Guernsey, 1902, left field and temporary Captain; Wear, 1902, center field; Ward, 1902, right field and Perkins, 1901 S., and Stoddard, 1902, substitutes.

A KNOX AD.

You notice it generally doesn't say much about the Knox Hat. Why? Simply because we don't like to ring the changes on the assertion that the Knox Hat is the best that can be made. It might look as though a claim were being made, when as a matter of fact we were only repeating a commonplace. Why repeat it?