

through this office have been carefully preserved.

As was stated two weeks ago, the Committee was first raised from the different classes of the undergraduate Department and from the different graduate schools. This Committee then added to itself a number of graduates from New Haven and New York, Hartford and the towns hereabout, who could be called on for advice and active work. This Committee was made up as follows:

Rev. Joseph H. Twichell, '59, Hartford; John M. Holcombe, '69, Hartford; Thomas G. Bennett, '70 S., New Haven; Charles Hopkins Clark, '71, Hartford; Henry B. Sargent, '71 S., New Haven; Hart Lyman, '73, New York Tribune, New York City; Prof. Henry W. Farnam, '74, New Haven; Samuel R. Betts, '75, New York City; Arthur R. Kimball, '77, Waterbury; Charles F. Chapin, '77, Waterbury; E. B. Gager, '77, Derby; John Addison Porter, '78, Washington, D. C.; Julian W. Curtiss, '79, New York City; Ernest Carter, '79, New York City; Prof. George D. Watrous, '79, New Haven; Walter Camp, '80, New Haven; Prof. Horatio M. Reynolds, '80, New Haven; Col. Norris G. Osborn, '80, New Haven; Howard H. Knapp, '82, Bridgeport; John R. Halsey, '84, New York City; F. E. Sands, '85 S., Meriden; William B. Anderson, '86, New York City; Francis R. Cooley, '86, Hartford; Dr. Percival R. Bolton, '86 S., New York City; James R. Sheffield, '87, New York City; Samuel H. Fisher, '89, New Haven; R. W. Huntington, Jr., '89, Hartford; Prof. E. G. Buckland, '89 L. S., New Haven; Dr. John A. Hartwell, '89 S., New York City; Lewis S. Welch, '89, New Haven; Frank M. Barber, '90, New Haven; Herbert Parsons, '90, New York City; John L. Bunce, '91, Hartford; Dr. B. B. Boltwood, '92 S., New Haven; James E. Wheeler, '92, New Haven; Moses Taylor, '93, 52 Wall st., New York City; A. K. Merritt, '93, New Haven; Noah H. Swayne, 2d, '93, New York City; F. S. Polk, '95, New York City; A. P. Stokes, '96, Cambridge; Dudley L. Vaill, '96, Winsted; George P. Day, '97, New York City.

In addition to these, the Secretaries of the different Alumni Associations have been asked to serve as members of the Committee in their own towns and they have generally accepted the service. Completer records of this part of the work will be given later.

The undergraduates have pushed up their total quite a little. The work in the University has been kept almost entirely on the dollar basis.

In addition to the funds received at the WEEKLY office from members of the Committee who have been canvassing or from officers of associations, about \$1,000 has come to this office, so far, in answer to the appeals that have been made in this paper.

### Harvard Wins Shoot.

The newly-formed Intercollegiate Shooting Association held the first of its semi-annual matches on Saturday, May 7, at the grounds of the New Haven Gun Club. Teams representing Princeton, Yale, Harvard and Pennsylvania competed.

The shoot was won by Harvard with the record-breaking score of 131 out of a possible 150. The best previous intercollegiate record was 116, Oglesby Paul, of the University of Pennsylvania, won the individual championship. His score was 28 out of a possible 30.

The intercollegiate cup will become the property of the team which wins the championship three times consecutively. The next match will take place at Princeton this coming Fall.

The teams with the total scores are as follows, no team being entered from Columbia:

Harvard—S. McD. Campbell, captain; E. Mallinckrodt, P. Bancroft, W. H. Dana, B. S. Blake; total score 131.

Yale—H. Loomis, 1900 S.; W. Bennett, P. G.; R. Van Name, '99; C. B. Spears, 1900, captain; E. B. Knowlton, 1900 S.; total 108.

University of Pennsylvania—Paul, Neilson, Steele, captain, Singer, Kistler; total 98.

Princeton—Laughlin, Jameson, Chidester, Findley, Young, captain.

The scorer was Mr. Bristol, of New Haven, and the judges were Mr. Robinson and Mr. Bassett, both of New Haven.

### Yale and the War.

To the Editor of YALE ALUMNI WEEKLY:

Sir—To one who was an undergraduate when the Civil War broke out, the scenes of the last few weeks recall those former days of patriotic ardor and devotion. He knows the emotions that fill the hearts of the students and make the prosaic round of College life seem tame and vain, in comparison with the duty of military service that calls some among them with an imperative command.

Those days were darker and sadder than these. Then there were separations of classmates who were to be opposed as enemies under different flags. The good-byes that were spoken to those who went to their Southern homes were regretful and solemn, but they were seldom, if ever, reproachful. Our friends departed early, while the surprise of the altered relation was fresh and before we fully realized that the question which had been a frequent topic of academic debate had become an awful issue of war, involving the life of the nation and the freedom of a race.

Then the whole space between the old Brick Row and College street was a shaded lawn. There was much drilling of squads and companies of students in the first year of the war; but there was no enlistment of students to go to the front together. They left College by ones, and twos, and threes, and during vacation in larger numbers, to join regiments organized at their homes. It was no unusual thing for a student who had obtained a commission to depart during term time. The good will of his classmates was commonly shown by the presentation of a sword, the ceremony taking place on the College Green before the departing soldier was escorted to the railway station, or the steamboat.

The war which now summons patriotic young men into the country's service is, in its circumstances and motives, different from the Civil War; but it is war, and it makes a similar appeal to the brave and the loyal. It is not more honorable than was the cause of the preservation of the Union. The curse from which Cuba is to be relieved is not so foul, so cruel, so corrupting as was the curse of chattel slavery in this land. The Spaniard who extorts oppressive taxes and rules without magnanimity will not suffer in any fair comparison of the motives and consequences of his policy with those of the politicians of the Slave Power.

Now the hearts of the students are aflame with wrath against the misguided statesmen and soldiers of Spain. How will they feel twenty-five or thirty years hence, Yale meanwhile having erected no memorial to the patriotism of their fellows who abandoned all and risked all in their country's service, if some class, persuaded of the amicable virtues and sincere motives of a Spanish hero of this war, a Campus, or a Blanco, a Sagasta or a Montjo, transplants the memorial ivy from his tomb to garland the stately walls and nourish the holy patriotism of Yale? W. A.

### Yale, 0—Lafayette, 3.

Yale met her first defeat of the season on the home grounds, Wednesday, May 4, in the game with Lafayette, by a score of 3 to 0. The game was a fast one throughout, the visitors winning through the steady pitching of Nevins and the sharp fielding of the entire team. Hall occupied the box for Yale and pitched well. His support was not of the best, but Yale's defeat was due rather to weakness at the bat than to slow fielding.

Score by innings:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Yale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0—0
Lafayette	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0—3

Summary: Two-base hit—Wallace. Three-base hit—Walbridge. Stolen bases—Yale, 3; Lafayette, 3. Double play—Hazen to Wadsworth. Bases on balls—Off Hall, 2; off Nevins, 2. Hit by pitcher—By Hall, 1. Struck out—By Hall, 3; by Nevins, 4. Passed ball—Sullivan, 1. Time of game—One hour twenty-three minutes. Umpire—Gruber.

### YALE TOO STRONG.

Harvard's Chances in the Dual Games Not of the Best.

[Correspondence of YALE ALUMNI WEEKLY]

Cambridge, May 9.—The dual meeting between Harvard and Yale in track athletics next Saturday is awaited here with much interest, especially as the war has made the appearance of some of the best athletes uncertain. It is consequently more difficult than usual to forecast the outcome.

Harvard will present a strong team, but, in spite of this, it is believed that Yale has the better chance.

The absence of the mile walk from the list of events will reduce the total number of points to 104, making 53 necessary to win. Harvard will profit more than her opponent by the change, as there are no good walkers on the Harvard team this year.

In the sprints, Harvard will rely on Roche and Bigelow, who have done well in previous years, and Robinson, a new man. Green, a Law School runner, has improved much over his form of last season and is also mentioned as a possible point-winner. Roche is commonly reported to be in poor condition, not having recovered from his injury last May, when he loosened a ligament in his leg. Bigelow is not doing as well as last year, due perhaps to the increased care incident to the captaincy. It is believed that Yale's chances for first place are better in both the sprints, but Harvard looks for points in each.

The hurdles are more even. Captain Perkins of Yale is picked here to win the high hurdle event, but ex-Captain Brewer, who holds the world's record over the low fences, is counted upon to win in this race. Morse of Harvard should run third. In the high hurdles, Fox, who was second to Perkins at New York last year, is relied upon for two points, with third place going to Yale.

In the middle distance runs there is the greatest uncertainty. Brewer is looked upon here as the probable winner of the 440 yards run. In the half-mile, Draper and Fullerton are Harvard's best men, but are far behind the standard set by Hollister, who graduated last June. Alexander is a fair man at this distance.

In the mile, Harvard has Grant, who is expected, without good reason, to retrieve his poor showing at New Haven a year ago, and Clark and Mills of the Freshman class. Mills is the interscholastic champion. The race between these men and Yale's quartette of milers is keenly anticipated.

The bicycle race is expected to go to Yale, with possibly one point for Harvard.

CLOSE IN THE FIELD EVENTS.

As usual, Harvard's best chances in the field events are in the jumps. The absence of Waller and Weston from the Yale squad, which is reported from New Haven, should give two first places to the Crimson. Morse, Rice and a new man, Ellis, are the pick of Harvard's high jumpers, while J. G. Clark and Nickerson can clear the most ground in the broad jumps.

The pole vault looks like seven points for Yale. Hoyt of Harvard should get a point. O'Donnell and Sutphin in the shot put are likely to prove better than Harvard's best, who is Ellis, a Freshman from Andover. In the hammer throw, Boal or Hennen of Harvard may secure second or third place, but are not likely to win.

Judging by the showing made by the Harvard athletes in the games on Holmes Field last week, I think Yale should win on Saturday with a total of 60 points or more.

J. WESTON ALLEN.

### Full-grown Men

—LIKE—

### THE SUN.

### CHASE & CO.

(New Haven House Block)

Make a

Specialty,

Just now, of

### BELTS.

Call or

Write.

### YOUR WINTER CLOTHES

We can care for them. Our storage

room is perfectly arranged and

superintended. The temperature

is kept at fourteen degrees. The

expense is very slight. You can

send them in from any point.

FRANK A. CORBIN,

1000 CHAPEL STREET.

A Manufacturer

### LIKE KNOX

Could economize in a lot more

ways in turning out his prod-

uct. You know this, if you wear

KNOX hats. You can see why

he doesn't, if you consider the

extent and the quality of the

reputation that is suggested by

the name of KNOX.

### Yale, 2—Dartmouth, 0.

Yale defeated Dartmouth at the Field on Saturday, by a score of 2 to 0. Fearey pitched a strong game, allowing but two hits. Cook on the contrary was hit freely, the Yale batters making nine hits in eight innings. Both teams fielded sharply. Sullivan caught well, his throwing to bases, with one exception, being strong and sure. Yale's playing throughout was satisfactory, with the exception of stupid base-running in the fourth inning.

Score by innings:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Yale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0—2
Dartmouth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0—0

Summary: Stolen bases—Yale, 6; Dartmouth, 2. Bases on balls—Off Fearey, 1; off Cook, 3. Hit by pitcher—By Fearey, 2. Struck out—By Fearey, 3; by Cook, 5. Passed balls—Sullivan, 1; Drew, 1. Time of game—Two hours. Umpire—Gruber.