

work and study during the last two weeks of practice. Yale's tackling was hard and clean. The defensive play of all the Yale backs was strong. When the Harvard runners cleared the Yale line they were quickly singled out and thrown back in their tracks. From first to last deSaules ran the team with judgment.

The weakness which was most costly was fumbling. It cost Yale her chance of scoring and it nearly gave Harvard a touchdown. The forwards were not very successful in opening up holes for the backs and irresistible line-bucking qualities of the backs did not equal New Haven reports, except once in the first half.

Of the individual showing, deSaules' clever work has already been remarked. His runs on receiving punts and his interference were of a high order. Once he took Haughton's kick on the run, slipped Moulton, threw a bunch of five Harvard tackles off their feet by a clever swerve and ran and slipped and wiggled over seven chalk lines. His omnipresence saved Yale in more than one tight corner.

McBride was perhaps the surest ground-gainer. One quick act by the Yale full-back escaped the eye of many, but showed well his football sense. Parker had slipped the Yale forwards and was fairly over McBride as the ball left the toe. There was part of a second to know what to do and to do it. His foot had not swung back to place before he swung himself squarely into the path of the leaping Crimson man and caught him so squarely as to make him almost bound back towards his own goal. But for this disappointment Parker would have swept by him and recovered the ball. A touchdown would not have been unlikely. The interval gave the quick deSaules a chance to recover. Benjamin fought hard but was hardly up to his last year's form. Corwin's defensive work was beyond expectation. In the line the center was uniformly strong in defense and Chadwick charged the line and broke through as well as Heffel-finger in former days. Captain Rodgers and Chamberlain both outplayed their opponents, Chamberlain's great strength enabling him to break through and cause havoc behind Harvard's line. For the first few minutes of play Rodgers played too far in. He seemed to feel anxiety for the center, just as he had often felt it in games during the Fall. It was in these few minutes that Dibblee was able to make his best gains between end and tackle on that side of the Yale line. After the Yale Captain moved out further he was not seriously troubled by the Harvard interference, but on the contrary very seriously troubled it. The improvement of the ends has been mentioned. Hall made a great tackle of Dibblee in the last half.

WHERE HARVARD FAILED.

Harvard's failure seemed to be the inability of her line to stand Yale's fierce assaults when Harvard had the ball. Her ends, particularly Cabot, disappointed their admirers, and failed to get down the field to prevent deSaules and McBride from running the ball back on punts. Cabot and Parker gained little ground when given the ball. Warren made his best showing of the year till he was hurt, and Dibblee was the hardest nut Yale had to crack. Doucette played even with Cadwalader in his position and got into more plays. Donald was strong while he lasted. The criticism of Harvard's generalship on Yale's five-yard line is not warranted. Garrison could have selected no plays that would not have failed, as long as Harvard's line gave way. Either of the plays he selected had an even chance of succeeding if Chamberlain and Chadwick had not got through and wrecked them behind the line.

THE GAME BRIEFLY TOLD.

Yale won the toss and chose the west goal, with the sun and wind behind her. After the kick-off and one short rush, McBride's attempt to punt was blocked, Benjamin saving the ball. Then McBride sent the ball fifty yards, to Harvard's 15-yard line. Dibblee turned Hall's end for twelve yards. Yale got the ball and punted over the line. Haughton brought the ball out and kicked only fifteen yards, but a muff by deSaules gave Cabot the ball. Dashes against Yale's tackles netted fifteen yards, and Harvard lost the ball at the center for holding. McBride punted, and Haughton ran ten yards. Two good end plays netted twenty

yards. Again Harvard held, and Yale got possession. Bouvé blocked McBride's punt, and Cabot again got the ball. Warren made Harvard's best run, around Hall for twenty yards. Yale braced and took the balls on downs, and McBride punted fifteen yards. Brown got through and tackled Dibblee for five yards loss, but on the next try the same play netted twenty yards around Hazen. Punts were exchanged, Dibblee running twelve yards on receiving McBride's kick. Haughton punted, and McBride ran twenty, deSaules interfering. An exchange of punts landed the ball near the center of the field, and then Yale made her long march down the field, as already described. After Harvard checked the advance on her 13-yard line, she gained ten yards by short rushes. A series of punts followed, and deSaules got twelve yards by a double pass. Harvard took the ball and recovered the distance just as time was called.

THE SECOND HALF.

In the second half, Haughton returned the kick-off, and deSaules fumbled the ball on Yale's 40-yard line, Doucette securing it. Harvard gained ten yards. A series of punts followed, leaving the ball in Harvard's hands on the 35-yard line. Five yards for interference and a succession of good runs swept Yale back to her 5-yard line, where she hurled Harvard back. After this a kicking game followed, and Yale was able to work the ball back beyond the center of the field. DeSaules made two good runs after receiving punts, but neither team seemed able to gain much by rushing tactics. Yale was gaining ground toward the close, when Parker blocked McBride's punt, Yale losing the ball and luckily escaping a touchdown. DeSaules saved the ball. Time was called after the next line-up.

The summary:

HARVARD		YALE
Cabot	left-end-right	Hall
Swain	left-tackle-right	Chamberlain
Wheeler		
Bouvé	left-guard-right	Brown
Doucette	center	Cadwalader
Haskell	right-guard-left	Chadwick
Shaw		
Donald	right-tackle-left	Rodgers
Mills		
Moulton	right-end-left	Hazen
Garrison	quarter-back	deSaules
Dibblee	right-half-left	Corwin
Warren	left-half-right	Benjamin
Parker		
Haughton	full-back	McBride

The following figures show how evenly the two teams played throughout the game:

	Harvard	Yale
<i>First half.</i>		
Yards gained by punting...	193	258
“ “ “ rushing ...	150	109
Total yards gained.....	343	367
Yards lost.....	9	17
Net gain.....	334	356
<i>Second half.</i>		
Yards gained by punting...	364	340
“ “ “ rushing ...	70	95
“ “ “ penalty ...	5	—
Total yards gained.....	439	435
Yards lost.....	10	37
Net gain.....	429	398

From these figures it will be seen that Yale gained 41 yards more by punting than Harvard during the entire game, but she lost 42 yards by blocked kicks, which just balances this superiority.

Harvard gained only sixteen yards more than Yale by running with the ball. Yale's best running gains were made after receiving punts.

Nearly twice as many punts were made in the second half as the first. Omitting the punts that were blocked, Haughton and McBride averaged the same distance—twenty-eight yards. Considering that McBride made nearly two-thirds of his against the wind, this is a very creditable showing for him.

J. WESTON ALLEN.

At a meeting of the Andover School Club, which was held November 10, the following officers were elected: President, E. Sawyer, '98; Vice-President, S. G. Moon, '98 S.; Secretary, Ord Preston, '99; Treasurer, A. S. Goodwin, 1900.

CONSOLIDATED BANQUET.

Harvard and Yale Graduates Dine Together in San Francisco.

The annual dinner of the Yale Alumni Association of California was held at Delmonico's, San Francisco, in union with the Harvard Association, on October 28. About one hundred and thirty members were present, pretty equally divided among the two associations. The Yale and Harvard men sat intermixed, and enjoyed themselves and each other with such evident good-feeling as made appear far too modest the hope expressed at the beginning of the speeches, that "at least as much harmony might reign as the country had enjoyed prosperity since the last presidential election." The noticeable feature of the speaking was the respect shown by Yale men for the age and intellectual prestige of Harvard, and the respect shown by Harvard men for the college spirit of Yale and the athletic successes in which it has resulted. Each speaker apparently felt so sure of the merits of his own alma mater that he could afford generous praise of the characteristic excellences of its rival.

There was no set list of toasts. Among those who spoke were Edward J. Pringle, Harvard, '45, President of the Harvard Association, Charles Page, '68, President of the Yale Association, and President Martin Kellogg, '50, of the University of California. The President of the Harvard Association of the Northwest was present, and in compliment to the classical training of the Yale men concluded his speech with a war song in modern Greek. T. R. Bacon, '72, said that Harvard was old, large, free and rich. It was old, and in this new country we are ready to bow down and worship any old thing. It was large, some people hinted, because the publication of its catalogue was deferred till the number of students attending other institutions had been made known. It was free in more directions than the right one, and some of its riches had come by devious paths. All this was but an introduction and contrast to the latter part of the speech, a brilliant eulogy of the University at Cambridge in each of the respects above mentioned, that brought both Yale and Harvard men to their feet with enthusiasm. Gordon Blanding, '71, showed the great influence Harvard had on the foundation and early history of Yale, and contrasted the two men after whom the Universities are named: John Harvard, the poor non-conformist preacher of Puritan conscience, and Elihu Yale, the rich aristocratic man of the world,—who was by nature and ought to have been a Harvard man.

The College Pulpit.

The college pulpit will be occupied during the rest of this term by the following preachers:

November 21—Rev. F. R. Shipman, Andover.

November 28—Rev. J. DePeu, Bridgeport.

December 5—Rev. Prof. G. B. Stevens, New Haven.

Harvard Team Reproached.

The Harvard *Crimson* published the following editorial on the game between Harvard and Yale last Saturday:

"Saturday's football game with Yale will go down into athletic history as a wasted opportunity. It is idle to go into particulars. Harvard clearly demonstrated her superiority in the first half, by holding her own against Yale in spite of the wind. In the second half, though the wind was in her favor, she could do little better, and lost the ball on Yale's four-yard line. The real game was played at that moment and Yale won, for her policy was one of defense and in that she succeeded. The team and the College have then little to be proud of. Harvard expects her men to rise to the occasion, to do better than their best when the crisis is reached. In this, the '97 football eleven has failed. The exact cause of the failure is immaterial. The main fact remains that the eleven did not win when the victory was almost, if not actually, in its hands. Now next Saturday will be the final game of the season, and in that game lies the chance to redeem Harvard's reputation. The team is well coached. It showed at times on Saturday that it had much more than average power. Against Pennsylvania then let the play be of the best all the time, and when the instant comes at which success is to be won, there must be no alternative. May it never again be recorded that Harvard reached an opponent's four yard line without scoring."

Yale Feeling.

[Editorial in Yale News.]

Harvard men received the Yale contingent finely, and treated each visitor to Cambridge so well that the good feeling between the colleges was greatly strengthened, and the wisdom of having college games on college grounds thoroughly apparent.

The Harvard team was so good that it was an honor to tie it. The work in the first half, when it was Harvard's turn to play on the defensive, was of the best, and she came so near scoring in the second that at one time it seemed impossible that the Crimson should not win. Too much honor cannot be given the University eleven for its work during the game. Every man played in perfect accord with the rest of the team, and this gave us a defense far beyond any we have had this Fall, finally bringing about that magnificent stand on Yale's four-yard line. Mr. Butterworth and the other coaches have only fulfilled in this game the deep-seated, unwavering trust Yale undergraduates have had in their work this Fall, and the work of the men they have trained is the greatest thanks that could be given them.

One thing can be done in speaking of the game, and that is to remember that it resulted in a tie and must be considered as such. Neither side won and no one has a right to say it was a "practical" victory for one side or the other. It was a drawn game, and we must look to next year to settle it.

About a Yale Paper

It is the aim of the Yale Weekly to give the news and the views of all Yale—to tell about it, to illustrate it, to comment on it, and to co-operate with all who are working for it. It seeks to cover the entire field, Graduate and Undergraduate, Academic, Scientific and Professional.

With the co-operation of Yale men everywhere, it has succeeded in so far commending itself to its constituency as to be able to say now that it comes under the eye of a majority of the entire Yale family.

If you are a graduate and are not familiar with the paper, you are asked to give it a trial.

Undergraduates are reading it more and more each year as a supplement to their regular journals.

The subscription price is \$2.50 per year. The office is at Room 6, White Hall. The post office address is Yale Alumni Weekly, New Haven, Conn.